

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.28294 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -23 Year- 2015 Thana -MAHILA PS District- JEHANABAD

=====

Raju Kumar @ Raju Yadav son of Bindeshwar Yadav, resident of village Bajitpur P.S. Makhdumpur District Jehanabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Indu Kumari wife of Raju Kumar, resident of village Gopalganj, P.S. Islampur, District Nalanda.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Paras Nath, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

4 27-07-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences alleged under Section 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code registered in connection with Jehanabad (Mahila) P.S. Case No. 23 of 2015.

3. In a complete departure from his earlier stand as recorded in the order dated 28.04.2016 to the effect that he was willing to resolve his differences with the opposite party no. 2 amicably and resume his matrimonial life with her, the petitioner has now taken the plea that the petitioner is not legally the wedded husband of the informant and no marriage of the informant was solemnized with him.

4. This Court is unable to appreciate the changing stand of the petitioner, apart from the conflicting statements made in paras 5 and 7 of the bail petition. On one side, the petitioner has stated that to his knowledge, the informant was not married to the petitioner, while on the other, he has stated that he was still a minor when his marriage was forcibly solemnized with her.

5. In the above circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The bail petition stands dismissed.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--