

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 16929 of 2011

=====

Patna University Employees Association through its General Secretary Mr. Vinod Mishra S/o Sri Singheshwar Mishra R/o Mohalla- Chaudhari Tola, P.S.- Sultanganj, District- Patna, presently posted as Assistant, in Patna University Office, Patna

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Chancellor of Universities, Raj Bhawan, Patna
3. The Principal Secretary Department of Finance, Patna University, Patna
4. The Principal Secretary Department of Human Resources Development, Government of Bihar, Patna
5. The Secretary Department of Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Patna
6. The Director, Higher Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
7. The Patna University through the Vice Chancellor, Patna University, Patna
8. The Vice Chancellor, Patna University, Patna
9. The Registrar, Patna University, Patna
10. The Finance Officer, Patna University, Patna
11. The Financial Advisor, Patna University, Patna

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amarendra Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dhurjati Kr Prasad GP-7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

6. 19-04-2016 Heard Sri Amarendra Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, learned Govt. Pleader – 7 as well as learned counsel who has appeared on behalf of respondent/Patna University.

The petitioner i.e. Patna University Employees Association has approached this Court invoking its writ jurisdiction, with a prayer to direct the respondents to grant benefit of A.C.P. scheme to the non-teaching employees of the Patna University in similar manner as State Government employees

were being provided.

In this case, a supplementary affidavit has been filed on behalf of petitioner and in the supplementary affidavit, it has been indicated that the policy has already been taken to provide A.C.P. to its employees by the government, which has also been adopted by the Patna University, vide **Annexure – 10** to the supplementary affidavit i.e. order contained in memo no. 622 dated 21-05-2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has raised a dispute that some of the members of the association have not been given such benefit.

Keeping in view the fact that prayer has been made for providing the benefit of A.C.P. to the employees of the Patna University, which has already been accepted, there is no reason to keep the matter further pending.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

It goes without saying that if individual member of the Association has any grievance, he/she would be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

Anay

U			
---	--	--	--