

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.508 of 2016**

=====

Vinod Sah

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

Samudri Kumari & Ors

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Naresh Chandra Verma

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR**  
**SAHOO**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2 11-03-2016

Heard the learned counsel, Mr. Naresh Chandra Verma

for the petitioner.

Perused the order impugned dated 09.09.2015 passed  
by Sub Judge V, Muzaffarpur in Partition Suit No.385 of 2002.

It appears that the petitioner appeared with  
vakalatnama on 10.06.2004 and thereafter when no written  
statement was filed, he was debarred from filing written statement  
on 14.09.2005. Again on 10.11.2014, the petitioner filed another  
vakalatnama and filed written statement alleging that a forged  
vakalatnama was filed on behalf of the petitioner and, therefore,  
his written statement may be accepted. The Court below  
considering the facts and submission of the petitioner, by the  
impugned order has passed a reasoned order explaining the reason  
as to why his application is not acceptable.

In view of the above factual position that after about 10

years, this application has been filed, I find no reason to interfere with the impugned order in exercise of supervisory jurisdiction.

Accordingly, this writ application is dismissed.

**(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)**

Saurabh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|