

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4847 of 2013

=====

1. Rekha Kumari Wife Of Shri Anjani Kumar Resident Of Village-
Raghunathpur, Police Station- Bikram, District- Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through Principal Secretary, Education Department,
Government Of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director, Primary Education, Education Department, Government
Of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Patna
3. The District Teacher Employment Appellate Authority, Patna Through
Its Secretary, Computer Bhawan, Rajkiya Bali Uchha Madyamik Vidalaya,
Bankipur, Patna
4. The Collector, Patna
5. The District Education Officer, Patna
6. The Block Development Officer, Bikram, Patna
7. The Block Education Officer, Bikram, Patna
8. The Panchayat Secretary, Panchayat Raj Vajirpur, Bikram, Patna
9. The Mukhiya Panchayat Raj, Vajirpur, Bikram, Patna
10. Anju Kumari Wife Of Ramayan Singh And Daughter Of Jamadar
Prasad Yadav Resident Of Village- Raghunathpur, Police Station- Bikram,
District- Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Prabhat Kumar, AC to GA 2.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 19-01-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

In the present case, petitioner is seeking relief for
appointment on the post of Shiksha Mitra and for that he
approached this Court vide C.W.J.C. No.10714 of 2010
whereafter he approached to the Tribunal and Tribunal vide
order dated 14.6.2011 rejected the claim of the petitioner

holding that there is no post of Shiksha Mitra and as such the appeal filed by the present petitioner is completely incompetent.

This issue is no longer in res-integra in view of the judgment of this Court in the case of Smt. Renu Kumari Pandey and The State of Bihar and others, reported in 2011(4) PLJR 297 and that has been affirmed by the Full Bench in the case of Kalpana Rani v. The State of Bihar and others, reported in 2014(2) PLJR 665.

In such view of the matter, no relief can be granted to the petitioner. Accordingly this writ petition is dismissed.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Vinay/-

U			
---	--	--	--