

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15329 of 2015

- =====
1. Goswami Laxminath Madhusudan Sanskrit Collage, Bangaon, P.S. Bangaon, District Saharsa through the Secretary, Managing Committee, G.L.M. Sanskrit College, Bangaon, P.S. Bangaon, District - Saharsa
 2. Madhuri Jha, Wife of Laxmeshwar Jha resident of Village + P.S. Bangaon, District Saharsa Presently Secretary, Managing Committee, Goswami Laxminath Madhusudan Sanskrit Collage, Bangaon, P.S. Bangaon, District Saharsa

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director, Department of Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Kameshwar Singh Darbhanga Sanskrit University, Kameshwar Nagar, Darbhanga
4. The Vice Chancellor, Kameshwar Singh Darbhanga Sanskrit University, Kameshwar Nagar, Darbhanga
5. The Registrar, Kameshwar Singh Darbhanga Sanskrit University, Kameshwar Nagar, Darbhanga

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Mishra
For the Respondent/s : Mr. SC5- Satya Deo Kumar
For respondent No. 3 to 5: Ms. Renu Jha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 25-04-2016

The present writ application is an ill advised writ application. In fact it should be dismissed with cost being imposed upon the petitioner.

The same impugned order was earlier challenged in C. W. J. C. No. 19429 of 2013 and the Court after hearing the parties in unambiguous terms disposed off the writ application on 25.08.2015, giving liberty to the petitioner to initiate a fresh process for getting recognition in terms of the prevalent rules and guidelines, meaning

thereby that the standards and yardstick, which is prevalent as of now has to be met and then process for recognition would be initiated afresh.

However, Court is surprised to see what has been stated in paragraph 17 as the reason for filing yet another writ application, challenging the order, which is nothing but an effort to over reach the Court.

Writ application, therefore, is dismissed.

Petitioner is cautioned and warned that the Court will not be indulgent on the next occasion, if such adventurism is embarked upon.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

SKM/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	26.04.2016
Transmission Date	