

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2233 of 2000

=====

Raghvendra Rai son of late Deo Narain Rai, resident of village Balua, P.S. Dumra, District- Sitamarhi.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Joint Director of Consolidation, Muzaffarpur.
3. The Consolidation Officer, Dumra, District Sitamarhi.
4. Bishwanath Singh son of late Ram Nandan Rai.
5. Ramashankar Singh son of late Raj Mangal Rai, both respondent no. 4 and 5 are residents of village- Balua, P.S. Mmra, District Sitamarhi.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jiwan Prakash Sinha.

For the Respondent No.1 to 3 : Mr. G.P.-2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 09-02-2016

Heard.

The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 03.05.1996 passed in Consolidation Revision No. 59 of 1994 by the respondent Joint Director of Consolidation, Muzaffarpur, as contained in Annexure-4, whereby after considering the entire claims of both parties, based on genealogical table, revision application filed on behalf of the respondent no.4 has been allowed.

Though, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner argued the matter for some time, but he has not been able to point out any legal infirmity in the impugned order or any procedural error committed by the revisional authority, while passing the impugned order dated 03.05.1996 (Annexure-4). Hence, this Court does not find any good ground to interfere with the impugned revisional order dated 03.05.1996 (Annexure-4).

The writ petition is devoid of merit and is, accordingly, dismissed, but there shall be no order as to costs.

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)