

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7602 of 2013

=====

1. Ravindra Nath Dubey Son Of Late Fate Dubey Resident Of Village -
Khanov P.S. Bhabhua, District - Kaimur (Bhabhua)

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Arun Kumar Pandey Son Of Sri Mangal Pandey Resident Of Village -
Ariah, P.S. Chainpur, Distt. - Kaimur (Bhabhua)
2. Prince Kumar Pandey Minor Son Of Sri Mangal Pandey Under The
Guardianship Of His Father Resident Of Village - Ariah, P.S. Chainpur,
Distt. - Kaimur (Bhabhua)
3. Bindu Devi Wife Of Sri Mangal Pandey Resident Of Village - Ariah,
P.S. Chainpur, Distt. - Kaimur (Bhabhua)
4. Ram Ekabal Dubey Son Of Late Triveni Dubey Resident Of Village -
Khanav P.S. Bhabhua, District - Kaimur (Bhabhua)
5. Bashishth Narayan Dubey Son Of Late Chandrama Dubey Resident Of
Village - Khanav P.S. Bhabhua, District - Kaimur (Bhabhua)
6. Ram Awadh Dubey Son Of Late Sri Nath Dubey Resident Of Village -
Khanav P.S. Bhabhua, District - Kaimur (Bhabhua)

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arbind Nath Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Rang Nath Pandey, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
TRIVEDI
ORAL ORDER

2. 18-03-2016 Learned counsel for the petitioner is of the view that on
account of exhibit of document having been allowed at the end of
respective parties, both parties should have been given opportunity
to rebut.

In the background of submissions, so made on behalf of
the petitioner, it looks appropriate to direct the petitioner to pray
before the learned lower Court for reopening of the case of both
the parties giving an opportunity to rebut the evidence, so

exhibited in terms of order dated 04.03.2013 and the learned lower Court if so prayed, will pass appropriate order in accordance with law.

(Aditya Kumar Trivedi, J.)

Vinita/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--