

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21656 of 2014

=====

Sri Kuldip Rajbanshi, son of Sri Raudi Rajbanshi, Resident of Village -
Thakur Asthan, P.S. Rajgir, District - Nalanda.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Director Panchayat Raj, Bihar, Patna.
3. The State Election Commission through its Secretary, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Secretary, State Election Commission, Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Nalanda.
6. The District Panchayat Raj Officer, Nalanda.
7. The S.D.O. Rajgir Nalanda.
8. The DCLR, Rajgir Nalanda.
9. The Block Development Officer-cum-Executive Officer, Rajya,
Panchayat Samiti Nalanda.
10. Santosh Kumar, son of Budhala Mahto Resident of Village - Bhui,
P.S. - Silao, District - Nalanda.
11. Navin Ram son of not known Resident of Village - Barnausa, P.S. -
Silao, District - Nalanda.
12. Md. Nausad Azam, son of Md. Humayun, Resident of Village -
Andwas, P.S. - Chhabilapur, District - Nalanda.
13. Smt. Indu Devi Wife of Awadhesh Prasad, Resident of Village -
Thera, P.S. - Chhabilapur, District - Nalanda.
14. Chanchala Verma Wife of Ajit Kumar Verma Resident of Village -
Lodipur, P.S. - Chhabilapur, District - Nalanda.
15. Akhilesh Pandit Son of Not Known Resident of Village - Nahu, P.S.
- Rajgir, District - Nalanda.
16. Smt. Radha Devi Wife of Shailendra Kumar Resident of Village -
Belava, P.S. - Rajgir, District - Nalanda.
17. Smt. Saroj Devi Wife of Ayodhya Mahto Resident of Village -
Nonhi, P.S. - Rajgir, District - Nalanda.
18. Smt. Anita Devi Wife of Kalu Resident of Village - Goraur, P.S. -
Rajgir, District - Nalanda.
19. Kumari Pinki Sinha Wife of Navin Kumar Resident of Village -
Lahuwar, P.S. - Rajgir, District - Nalanda.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Bhartee, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Alok Ranjan, A.C. to G.A.-13
For the State E.C. : Mr. Girish Pandey, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 22-02-2016

None appears on behalf of the petitioner.

Mr. Alok Ranjan, A.C. to G.A. 13 and Mr. Girish Pandey, learned counsel appearing for the respondents submit that since it is only a notice convening special meeting which has been challenged by the petitioner without questioning the motion itself, hence the writ petition by passage of time has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of accordingly.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

deepika/-

U			
---	--	--	--