

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6453 of 2013

=====

1. Saukhi Lal Prasad Son Of Late Jamuna Prasad Resident Of Mahadeo
Timber, Kankar Bagh Road, P.S. Kankar Bagh, Town And District Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through Secretary, Home Department, Old Secretariat, Patna
2. Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna
3. Inspector General of Police, Patna Region, Police Head Quarter, Gandhi Maidan, Patna
4. Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna
5. Additional Superintendent of Police, Patna City
6. Officer - In - Charge, Agam Kuan Police Station
7. Awadhesh Kumar Son Of Late Chandradip Yadav Resident Of Village - Monipur, P.S. Chandi, Distt. - Nalanda
8. Randhir Kumar Son Of Late Chandradip Yadav Resident Of Village - Monipur, P.S. Chandi, Distt. - Nalanda
9. Krishna Devi Daughter Of Late Chandradip Yadav Resident Of Village - Monipur, P.S. Chandi, Distt. - Nalanda
10. Ramji Singh Son Of Rampati Singh Resident Of Village - Raghu Bigha, P.S. Hilsa, Distt. - Nalanda
11. Krishna Devi Wife Of Raghu Prasad Singh Resident Of Village - Raghu Bigha, P.S. Hilsa, Distt. - Nalanda
12. Prabha Singh Wife Of Sudhir Kumar Singh Resident Of Mohalla Anwarpur, P.S. Hajipur, District - Vaishali
13. Ravindra Prasad Son Of Late Gonar Rai Resident Of Village Kazipur, P.S. Doriganj, (Muffasil Chapra), District - Chapra (Saran)
14. Sheodhari Yadav Son Of Munshi Yadav Resident Of Village - Monipur, P.S. Chandi, Distt. - Nalanda
15. Ramadhi Yadav Son Of Munshi Yadav Resident Of Village - Monipur, P.S. Chandi, Distt. - Nalanda

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar,G.P.-8

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR MANDAL
ORAL ORDER

3 22-01-2016 Despite repeated calls, no one has appeared on behalf
of the petitioner. The State is represented.

The application seeks a direction in the nature of

mandamus commanding the respondents not to coerce and/or pressurize the petitioner to deliver the possession of his property without any order of the Court where the matter is subjudice.

Counsel for the State submits that it is a dispute between two private individuals.

Be that as it may, since no one has appeared to press this application, the same is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

Pankaj/-

U			
---	--	--	--