

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Revision No.29 of 2014

=====

1. Md. Zainul

2. Md. Nabab

3. Md. Wahahab All Son Of Kamruddin And Grand Sons Of Late Md. Gafoor, All Resident Of Mohalla Ishak Chak, P.O. & P.S. Ishakchak In The Town & District Of Bhagalpur

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Md. Salam Son Of Late Md. Mansoor, Resident Of Mohalla- Ishakchak, P.O.& P.S. Ishakchak In The Town & District Of Bhagalpur

2. Bibi Sahzadi Daughter Of Late Md. Mansoor

3. Bibi Husannara Daughter Of Late Md. Mansoor

4. Bibi Sitara Daughter Of Late Md. Mansoor

5. Bibi Razia Wife Of Md. Gaffor All Resident Of Mohalla Ishak Chak, P.O. & P.S. Ishakchak In The Town & District Of Bhagalpur

6. Md. Panchu Son Of Late Md. Niyamat

7. Fakaru Nisa Wife Of Md. Sikandar Mistry Both Resident Of Mohalla Ishak Chak, P.O. & P.S. Ishakchak In The Town & District Of Bhagalpur

8. Md. Masook Son Of Mad. Makbool, Resident Of Village Akbar Nagar, P.O. & P.S. Akbarnagar, District- Bhagalpur

9. Bibi Chunai Wife Of Md. Badri Resident Of Mohalla- Ishakchak, P.O.& P.S. Ishakchak In The Town & District Of Bhagalpur

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. ASHUTOSH JHA, ADV

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. NATH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 25-10-2016



Heard Mr. Ashutosh Jha, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners.

By the impugned order dated 21.12.2013, it transpires that the learned court below has directed for keeping the report submitted by the Nazir with regard to the delivery of possession and further allowed the prayer of the Nazir for accepting the cost of measurement. This Court is of the opinion that by the impugned order no case has been decided and the petitioner cannot be said to be aggrieved in any manner by the impugned order.

The revision application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(V. Nath, J)

Ranjan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	15.12.16
Transmission Date	N.A.

