

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20049 of 2011

=====

Nand Kishore Pandey Son of Late Gangadeo Pandey Resident of House No. 4, Road No. 3 B, Indrapuri, P.O. Keshari Nagar, P.S. Rajiv Nagar, District-Patna-24.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. Bihar State Electiricty Board through its Chairman, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. Chairman, Bihar State Electricity Board, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. Secretary, Bihar State Electricity Board, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. Joint Secretary, Bihar State Electricity Board, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.

.... Respondents

=====

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21175 of 2011

=====

Uday Narayan Sinha Son of Late Ram Chandra Sinha Resident of Shanti Ranjan Market, West Patel Nagar P.S. Shastri Nagar, District-Patna.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. Bihar State Electricity Board through its Chairman, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
2. Chairman, Bihar State Electricity Board, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. Secretary, Bihar State Electricity Board, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
4. Joint Secretary, Bihar State Electricity Board, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

(In CWJC No.20049 of 2011)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Naresh Chandra Verma

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh

(In CWJC No.21175 of 2011)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Naresh Chandra Verma

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

6. 16-05-2016

Since in both the cases, common relief has been sought for, both the writ petitions were heard together and are being disposed of by this common order.

At the very outset, Sri Vinay Kirti Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent/Bihar State Electricity Board submits that without approaching the authority concerned, the petitioners have directly approached this Court. He submits that in similar circumstances, one another writ petition i.e. C.W.J.C. No. 19661 of 2011 was disposed of on 10-05-2016 by this Court granting liberty to the petitioners of that case to approach the authority concerned.

In view of facts and circumstances, both the writ petitions stand disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner(s) to firstly approach the authority concerned by filing detailed separate representation. If such representations are filed within a period of eight weeks from today, the Court expects that respondent authority may consider the same and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, preferably within a period of three months thereafter.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

Anay

U			
---	--	--	--