

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.49527 of 2014**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -888 Year- 2013 Thana -VAISALI COMPLAINT CASE District-  
VAISHALI(HAJIPUR)

=====

1. Pankaj Kumar Son of Parikshan Rai Resident of Village - Madhopur,  
Dighaura, P.S. - Tejpur, District - Samastipur.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar  
2. Vinita Devi W/o Pankaj Kumar D/o Nagendra Rai Resident of Village -  
Madhopur, Digharua, P.S.- Tejpur, District - Samastipur, at present  
Residing at her father's house at Village- - Bahuara Kothi, P.S. - Patepur,  
District - Vaishali.

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Prakash Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Akbar Ali (App)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

8      26-10-2016              Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
  
counsel on behalf of the opposite party No. 2 as well as learned  
  
Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with  
  
Complaint Case No. 888/13 registered for the offences punishable  
  
under Sections 498(A)/379 of the Indian Penal Code and Section  
  
3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

Both the parties have also appeared in Chambers today. It  
  
is submitted that they have been living together, yet there are  
  
certain issues on which they still stand aggrieved with each other.  
  
However, after interaction with both the parties, they have agreed  
  
to resolve the disputes and co-operate with each other.

In view of the aforementioned facts and circumstances, let the petitioner abovenamed, in the event of his arrest / surrender within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Complaint Case No. 888/2013, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr. P.C.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall continue to co-operate with the opposite party No. 2 and in case there is any future problem, it shall be open to the opposite party No. 2 to file an application for modification/cancellation of this order.

**(Anjana Mishra, J)**

Saif/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|