

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.44858 of 2013

=====

Ajay Singh @ Ajay Chandrabanshi Son Of Kallu Singh Resident Of
Village- Pantit, P.S.- Kurtha, District- Arwal

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

with

Criminal Miscellaneous No.45157 of 2013

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -null Year- null Thana -null District- JEHANABAD

=====

Ram Akwal Yadav Son of Ram Prasad Yadav Resident Of Village Seva
Bigha, P.S. Kurtha, District Arwal.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar

2. Shiv Pujan Singh Son Of Late Mahadeo Singh Resident Of Village Kolh
Seva Bigha, P.S. Kurtha, District Arwal.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

(In Cr.Misc. No.44858 of 2013)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. N.N.Tiwary(App)

(In Cr.Misc. No.45157 of 2013)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Indu Shekhar Dwivedi

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Sangita Sharma (App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 01-07-2016 In both the petitions petitioners are aggrieved with one

common order i.e. the order dated 19.9.2013 passed by learned

Sessions Judge, Jehanabad in Session Trial No. 354 of 2013

arising out of Kurtha P.S. Case No. 7 of 2009 registered for the

offence under section 376/ 302/380/ 201 of the Indian Penal

Code. By the said order petition filed under section 227 of the Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners was rejected. From perusal of the order sheet it appears that charge has already been framed and thereafter this order was passed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that only on suspicion petitioners have been made accused. So far 1st case i.e. Cr. Misc. No. 44858 of 2013 is concerned, submission was made that only material against the petitioner is that his name had come on the evidence of sniffer dog and as such prayer has been made for discharging the petitioners.

In both the cases Sri Shyam Bihari Singh, learned A.P.P. submits that it is a case of brutal murder of a lady after committing rape.

Be that as it may, I have perused the material available on record. I do not find any ground for interference.

Both the petitions stand dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

Praful/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--