

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20140 of 2011

=====

Ravi Ranjan son of Late Munshi Sharma Resident Of Village Vikram
Kaituka, P.O. Nandan Kaituka, P.S. Maker, District Saran At Chapra.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur, District Muzaffarpur.
3. The District Program Officer (Establishment), Muzaffarpur Cum District
Program Coordinator, Bihar Education Project, Muzaffarpur, District
Muzaffarpur.
4. The District Education Officer, Muzaffarpur, District Muzaffarpur.
5. The Block Education Extension Officer, Saraiya Cum Coordinator Block
Resource Centre, Saraiya, District Muzaffarpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar Singh

For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 06-05-2016 At the very outset, Sri Vijay Kumar Singh, learned

counsel for the petitioner, in presence of learned AC to AAG No.

8 submits that in the writ petition in sum and substance relief for

regularization of service has been made. However, during the

pendency of the writ petition advertisement has been published in

which application has been invited. He submits that as per

advertisement it has been contemplated to grant preference to


such candidates and as such, he makes a prayer for disposal of the

present writ petition so that petitioner may take appropriate steps

in view of the advertisement.

In view of the facts and circumstances, the writ petition

stands disposed of.



Praful/-

(Rakesh Kumar, J)