

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9686 of 2011

=====

Savitri Kumari Daughter of Shaligram Mandal Resident of Village
Karanpur, P.O. Kalla, P.S. Laxmipur, District Jamui

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through the D.G.P. Bihar, Patna
2. The Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment) Bihar, Patna
Through Its Secretary
3. The Chairman, Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment), Bihar,
Patna
4. The Secretary, Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment), Bihar,
Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramesh Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ajay Kumar, AC to Aag8
Mr. Sanjay Pandey
Mr. Binod Kr. Mishra
Mr. Vivek Anand

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3. 07-01-2016 Heard Sri Ramesh Singh, learned counsel for the
petitioner, learned A.C. to Addl. Advocate General – 8 as well as
learned counsel, who has appeared on behalf of
respondent/Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment).

The petitioner, invoking writ jurisdiction of this Court
under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, has prayed for
directing the respondent to appoint her as Constable in B.M.P.

It has been claimed that despite the fact that the
petitioner qualified in the written test as well as physical test, her
name was not included in the list of selected candidates.

In this case, counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent no. 2 to 4 and a specific stand has been taken in paragraph – 11 of the counter affidavit that the petitioner, having lesser marks than the last candidate who was selected in the category of Backward Class Female Non-Home Guard, she was not declared selected. It has been indicated that the petitioner has secured only 12 marks, whereas, last recommended candidate in her category has secured 79 marks in the written test. No rejoinder to the counter affidavit has been filed.

In view of submission made in paragraph – 11 of the counter affidavit, there is no reason to pass any positive order in favour of the petitioner.

The writ petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

Anay

U			
---	--	--	--