

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.38113 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -257 Year- 2016 Thana -KHAZANIHAT District- PURNIA

=====

1. Pramod Kumar @ Pramod Kumar Sah S/o Mahesh Sah, resident of
Village- Jadhua, P.S.- Hajipur Town Dist- Vaishali.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mahendra Thakur

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Satyendra Narayan Singh

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

2 26-09-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel representing the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with K. Hat
(Madhubani) P.S. Case No. 257 of 2016 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 457 and 380 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegedly, in the house of the informant theft was
committed wherein cash of Rs. 90,000/- and ornaments were taken
away. During investigation Md. Sonu was arrested and he
confessed his guilt and on the basis of his confessional statement
Jhansi Rai @ Lambu and Ajit Kumar were arrested and Ajit
Kumar stated the name of the petitioner that he has sold
ornaments in the shop of the petitioner and then from the shop of
the petitioner some ornaments were recovered.

Submission is of false implication and that the petitioner
has been made victim of circumstances, in every jewelry shop

such type of ornaments are kept, further those ornaments have not been put on test identification parade, without any cogent material the petitioner is suffering in custody since 07.07.2016 and as such he deserves sympathetic consideration to which the learned APP opposes.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, the petitioner above named is directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Purnea in connection with K. Hat (Madhubani) P.S. Case No. 257 of 2016, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

avin/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--