

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20144 of 2014

=====

1. Murari Rai son of Ram Suresh Rai, resident of village- Khajanpura, Gram Panchayat Raj Chandpur Fatah, P.O.- Bariyarpur, P.S.- Patepur, District- Vaishali

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of India, New Delhi
2. The Under-Secretary, Rural Development Department (MANREGA), Government of India, New Delhi
3. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. The Commissioner, MANREGA, Government of Bihar, Patna
5. The Director, Panchayati Raj, Bihar, Patna
6. The Joint Secretary, Vigilance Department, Bihar, Patna
7. The District Magistrate, Vaishali at Hajipur, District- Vaishali
8. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Vaishali
9. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Mahua, District- Vaishali
10. The District Panchayati Raj Officer, Vaishali
11. The Block Development Officer, Patepur, District- Vaishali
12. The Programme Officer, MANREGA, Block- Patepur, District- Vaishali
13. Junior Engineer, MANREGA, Block- Patepur, District- Vaishali
14. Panchayat Rojgar Sevak, Gram Panchayat Raj Chandpur Fatah, Block+P.S.- Patepur, District- Vaishali
15. Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Chandpur Fatah, Block+P.S.- Patepur, District- Vaishali
16. Smt. Shanti Devi, Mukhiya, wife of Nunu Paswan, resident of village- Sherpur Shankar Das, Gram Panchayat Raj Chandpur Fatah, Block+P.S.- Patepur, District- Vaishali

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Sinha, GA 9

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 12-01-2016 Heard Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, learned counsel for the
petitioner, and Mr. Anil Kumar Sinha, learned G.A No.9,

appearing for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this application with liberty to take recourse to appropriate provisions of law.

To the submissions so made, no objection has been raised on behalf of the respondents.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, this application is hereby disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to take recourse to appropriate provisions of law.

(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

A.I./-

U			
---	--	--	--