

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20403 of 2014

=====

1. Parmeshwar Prasad S/o- Late Hari Gope, R/o- Habibpura, P.S.- Nalanda, Dist.- Nalanda

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Chief Secretary
2. The Engineer-in-Chief-cum-Additional Commissioner-cum-Special Secretary, Water Resources Department, Bihar, Patna
3. The Deputy Secretary, Water Resources Department, Bihar, Patna
4. The Joint Secretary, Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
5. The Chief Engineer, Patna, Water Resources Deptt., Sone Bhawan, Virchand Patel Marg, Bihar, Patna
6. The Executive Engineer, Sone Pramandal, Khagaul, Patna
7. The Director, Provident Fund, 5th Floor, Pant Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Om Prakash Agrawal

For the Respondent/s : Mr. AC to GA 5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 01-09-2016

Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner, who is a retiree of 31.3.1996, is entitled to time bound promotion.

This is a misplaced kind of expectation to have keeping in mind the time frame when the petitioner superannuated as also the fact that the scheme of time bound promotion came to an end in the last century itself before the date of retirement of the petitioner.

Counsel for the State further submits that the petitioner had got two substantive promotions after his initial appointment as an Overseer on 1.9.1959. If that be so, then he cannot beget the advantage of stagnation scheme i.e. time bound promotion now.

Rest of the issues have already been sorted out and settled.

Writ stands dismissed in view of the above.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

sk

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	01.9.2016
Transmission Date	