

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.38334 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -2513 Year- 2015 Thana -PURNIA COMPLAINT CASE District-
PURNIA

=====

Santosh Kumar Yadav @ Santosh Kumar @ Santosh Yadav, son of Late
Saryug Prasad Yadav, resident of Village Sirsa, P.S. Mufassil Katihar,
District Katihar.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dinesh Sah, son of Vijay Sah, resident of Village Betauna, Santhal Tola,
P.S. Kasba, District Purnea.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pravin Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Sanjay Kumar Pandey

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 22-09-2016 Heard Sri Ajay Thakur, learned counsel, who was

assisted by Sri Pravin Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner
and learned Addl. Public Prosecutor.

The sole petitioner, apprehending his arrest in
Complaint Case No.2513 of 2015 registered for the offence under
Sections 420, 465 of the Indian Penal Code, has prayed for grant
of anticipatory bail.

It was submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that of course in the complaint petition, it was alleged
that the complainant was cheated by the petitioner by providing
forged papers to give employment in the Indian Armed Force and

obtained Rs.2, 50,000/- from the complainant. He submits that without any such document after examining the complainant and his witnesses, order of cognizance was passed, whereas after going through the statement made in paragraph-3 of the petition, which indicates that the petitioner is accused in number of cases of cheating, the Court is of the opinion that the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail.

The petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

NKS/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--