

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19784 of 2014

=====

Ambpali Handloom and Handicraft Multi State Cooperative Society Ltd.
through its Chairperson Mrs. Archana Singh W/o Late Ajit Kumar Singh,
Resident of A/10, Gandhi Nagar, Boring Road, Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Women Development Corporation, Bihar, Department of Social Welfare, Government of Bihar through its Managing Director.
3. The Administrative Officer, Women Development Corporation, Bihar.
4. The District Project Manager, Women Development Corporation, Nawada, Bihar.
5. The District Magistrate, Nawada, Bihar.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Siya Ram Sahni, Advocate

For the Respondent-Corporation : Mr. Binod Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 23-02-2016

Heard Mr. Siya Ram Shahi, learned counsel appearing for
the petitioner, Mr. Binod Kumar, learned counsel for the Corporation
and learned counsel for the State.

The petitioner is a Multi State Cooperative Society and is
aggrieved by the action of the respondent-Corporation in non-
payment of his bills, the details of which find mentioned in
Paragraph-1 of the writ petition. The bills were submitted in
connection with the running and management of the short stay home
within Nawada district. The petitioner had earlier come before this
Court in CWJC No.1736 of 2013 and a co-ordinate Bench looking



into the grievance of the petitioner disposed of the writ petition with direction to the Managing Director of the Corporation to take a final decision on the pending claims. It is following such directives that the claim of the petitioner after consideration stands disposed of by the Managing Director which is manifest from a letter dated 26.6.2014 addressed to the District Magistrate, Nawada and whereby the Managing Director has informed the District Magistrate that of the bill submitted by the petitioner to the tune of Rs. 6,52,134/- while the bill for an amount of Rs.2,68,529/- was not found admissible, orders for payment of the balance of Rs.3,83,605/- have been issued.

Mr. Binod Kumar, learned counsel appearing for the Corporation informs that the payment has reached the petitioner and since the balance is disputed, hence the same cannot be allowed. Mr. Shahi, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner in the circumstances sought liberty for the petitioner to raise the remaining claim before the Corporation and prays for an appropriate direction to the Corporation to consider and dispose of the same. In my opinion the submission is reasonable and can be accepted. Since there are no reasons assigned by the Managing Director in her letter dated 26.6.2014 as to the reasons on which the bills to the tune of Rs.2,68,529/- has been disallowed, I would grant liberty to the petitioner to raise the claim in relation thereto before the Managing

Director along with the supportive document and which should be considered and disposed of by the Managing Director by a speaking order to be passed within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

This writ petition is disposed of.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

deepika/-

U			
---	--	--	--