

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20842 of 2012

=====

1. SHASHI KANT KUMAR @ BACHCHA KUMAR SON OF LATE RAM
EKBAL KUWAR RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- SIRAUNA, POLICE STATION-
SIKARGANJ, DISTRICT- EAST CHAMPARAN

.... PETITIONER/S

VERSUS

1. MOST. SUDHA DEVI WIFE OF RAMAKANT KUMAR RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- SIRAUNA, POLICE STATION- SIKARGANJ, DISTRICT- EAST
CHAMPARAN

2. MANI RANJAN KUMAR ALIAS GUNJAN KUMAR SON OF LATE
RAMAKANT KUMAR RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- SIRAUNA, POLICE
STATION- SIKARGANJ, DISTRICT- EAST CHAMPARAN

3. DEVKANT KUMAR SON OF LATE MAHENDRA KUMAR RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- SIRAUNA, POLICE STATION- SIKARGANJ, DISTRICT- EAST
CHAMPARAN

4. SRIKANT KUMAR SON OF LATE MAHENDRA KUMAR RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- SIRAUNA, POLICE STATION- SIKARGANJ, DISTRICT- EAST
CHAMPARAN

5. YOGENDRA KUMAR SON OF LATE NARAY KUWAR RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- SIRAUNA, POLICE STATION- SIKARGANJ, DISTRICT- EAST
CHAMPARAN

6. ASHOK KUMAR SON OF LATE BIRENDRA KUMAR RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- SIRAUNA, POLICE STATION- SIKARGANJ, DISTRICT- EAST
CHAMPARAN

7. RAGHO KUWAR SON OF LATE BALDEV KUMAR RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- SIRAUNA, POLICE STATION- SIKARGANJ, DISTRICT- EAST
CHAMPARAN

8. NAND KISHORE KUMAR SON OF BAADSHAHI KUMAR RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- SIRAUNA, POLICE STATION- SIKARGANJ, DISTRICT- EAST
CHAMPARAN

9. AJIT KUMAR SON OF RAMCHANDRA KUWAR RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- SIRAUNA, POLICE STATION- SIKARGANJ, DISTRICT- EAST
CHAMPARAN

.... RESPONDENT/S

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Singh-Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR TRIVEDI
ORAL ORDER

02 07-01-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Petitioner, who happens to be plaintiff, wants to nip
the bud by way of challenging the order dated 23.08.2012

whereby and whereunder the learned lower Court had relaxed in accepting written statement which, in view of the petitioner, committed mistake. Written statement was filed within 90 days from the date of appearance which the learned lower Court had accepted by the order impugned.

I do not see any kind of illegality, because of the fact that dispersion of justice should be the prime issue, which the learned lower Court had parted with.

Accordingly, instant petition is rejected.

(Aditya Kumar Trivedi, J)

Amit/-

U			
---	--	--	--