

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13495 of 2015

- =====
1. Bauan Mukhiya, son of Srikant Mukhiya, resident of village- Lalganj, P.O.- Lohna West, P.S.- Bhaiaw Sthan, District- Madhubani, State- Bihar.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Union of India.
5. The Secretary, Ministry of Finance, Government of India, North Block, P.O. + P.S.- North Block, District- New Delhi.
6. The Central Bureau of Investigation, Lodhi Road, P.O. + P.S.- Lodhi Road, District- New Delhi.
7. The Director, Central Bureau of Investigation, Lodhi Road, P.O. + P.S.- Lodhi Road, District- New Delhi.
8. The Director, Enforcement Directorate, Shivaji Park, Lodhi Road, District- New Delhi.
9. The Director (Investigation), Income Tax, Patna, Bihar.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Subodh Kumar Jha, Adv.
Mr. Pranav Kumar Jha, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anjani Kumar- AAG-6
For Res. No.3 E.O.U. : Mr. V.N.P. Sinha, Sr. Adv.
Mrs. Soni Shrivastava, Adv.
For Res. Nos. 6 & 7 : Mr. Bipin Kumar Sinha, SC/CBI
For Res. No.8 : Mr. S.D. Sanjay, A.S.G.
Mr. Kumar Priya Rawani, CGC
For Res. No.10 : Mrs. Archana Sinha, Sr. S.C./I.T. Deptt.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

5 02-05-2016 Heard Mr. Subodh Kumar Jha, learned Counsel,

 appearing on behalf of the petitioner, and Mr. Anjani Kumar,

 learned Additional Advocate General No.6, appearing on behalf

of the State-respondents. Heard also Mrs. Sony Shrivastava, learned Counsel, appearing on behalf of respondent No.3, Mrs. Archana Sinha, learned Senior Standing Counsel for Income Tax Department, Mr. S.D. Sanjay, learned Additional Solicitor General, appearing on behalf of Union of India and Mr. Bipin Kumar Sinha, learned Standing Counsel for the Central Bureau of Investigation.

After some argument, learned Counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this application with liberty to approach this Court with appropriate application, in future, if so advised, and also to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, the application is hereby disposed of as withdrawn with liberty granted to the petitioner to approach this Court with appropriate application, in future, if so advised, and also to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

K.C.jha/-

U			
---	--	--	--