

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.36106 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -259 Year- 2015 Thana -JAMUI District- JAMUI

=====

1. Gautam Ram @ Dukka Son of Ramesh Ram @ Rahis Ram, Resident of Village- kalyanpur, P.,S. & District Jamui.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Prakash Parasar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 26-10-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case instituted for the offences under Sections 25(1-b)A, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

Allegation is that two country made pistols, ten live cartridges and one magazine were recovered from the possession of the petitioner by the police.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 28.09.2015 and the charge sheet has been submitted in the present case. There is no allegation of tampering of witnesses alleged against the petitioner. Two country made pistols, ten live cartridges and one magazine are said to have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. There is no compliance of Section 100 of the Cr.P.C.

On behalf of the State, it is submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and there are eight cases pending against the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the period of custody, it is directed that the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jamui in connection with Jamui P.S. case No.259 of 2015.

The court below is directed to take all necessary steps to expedite the trial and conclude the same preferably within a period of seven months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order. If the court below feels that the petitioner is not co-operating in the trial, the court will be at liberty to cancel the bail of the petitioner.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

Narendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--