

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13577 of 2011

=====

1. Sri Surendra Singh S/O Sukhdeo Singh R/O Village-Sagama,
P.S.Kashichak, Distt.-Nawadah

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Secretary, Department Of Rural Development Authority, Govt. Of Bihar Patna
3. District Magistrate, Distt.-Nawadah
4. Deputy Development Officer Cum Chief Executive Officer, District Rural Development Authority Distt.-Nawadah
5. Deputy Development Commissioner, Distt.-Nawadah
6. Sub-Divisional Officer Distt.-Nawadah
7. Block Development Officer Warsaliganj
8. Block Supply Officer, Warsaliganj, Distt.-Nawadah

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Wasi Ahmad Khan

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dhurandhar Pd. Chy Sc2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

15-03-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

1. The present writ petition has been filed for quashing
the memo No. 1635 dated 16.05.2011 issued by the D.D.C. cum
Chief Executive Officer, DRDA, Nawada (respondent No. 4)
whereby and whereunder he has rejected the application filed on
behalf of the petitioner and directed him to deposit Rs. 2,43,791/-
in the office of DRDA, Nawadah within 15 days.

2. The matter in issue herein stands well covered by the

judgment of this Court dated 21.09.2015 passed in CWJC No. 5638 of 2011 (Raiful Azam & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar & others) and analogous cases, whereby, interalia, a Three–Member Enquiry Commission had been constituted for detailed enquiry into the matter of considerable loss caused to the State Exchequer in the context of the charges of retention of rice by PDS dealers.

3. In the above view of the matter and after hearing the parties, this writ petition is disposed of in line with the judgement of this Court dated 21.09.2015 passed in CWJC No. 5638 of 2011 (Raiful Azam & Ors. Vs. state of Bihar & others) and analogous cases and the observations and directions contained therein shall accordingly govern the petitioner of the present case.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--