IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16413 of 2014

==========

1. Lalo Chaudhary
2. Dilip Chaudhary
3. Bablu Chaudhary
4. Shravan Chaudhary All sons of Late Rajendra Chaudhary Resident of village - Parihara, P.S. Bakhri, District - Begusarai.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar .
2. The District Magistrate, Begusarai.
3. The S.D.M. Bakhri, District - Begusarai.
4. The Circle Officer, Bakhri, District - Begusarai.
5. The Superintendent of Police, District - Begusarai.
6. Vasudev Sah son of Late Rameshwar Sah Resident of village - Parihara, Police Station - Bakhri, District - Begusarai.
7. Shrimati Mala Devi wife of Pradeep Sah Resident of village - Parihara, Police Station - Bakhri, District - Begusarai.

.... Respondent/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Atif Inam, Adv.
For the Respondent Nos. 1 to 5: Mr. Nagendra Pd. Yadav, SC-23
Mr. Rohti Mishra, AC to SC-23

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-12-2016 Heard.

The present writ petition seems to be completely misconceived and untenable. The impugned consequential order was passed on 29.7.2013 pursuant to the order passed under Section 144 Cr.P.C. If the petitioners were at all aggrieved with the original order passed by the respondent S.D.O., Bakhri under Section 144 Cr. P.C. with respect to the lands in question, then they ought to have challenged that order before the Revisional authority. Admittedly, they have not challenged the main order passed with respect to the lands in question. In that view of the matter, the reliefs sought for on behalf of the petitioners is completely misconceived and cannot be granted.

WEB COPY

The writ petition is devoid of merit and is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

BTiwary/-

U			

WEB COPY