

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10026 of 2015

=====

Sheo Shankar Singh son of Late Rajendra Prasad Singh resident of JF-2/35 Block
-6, Road No. 10, Rajendra Nagar, P.S. Kadam Kuan District Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Human Resources
Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Vice Chancellor, Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara
3. The Registrar , Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :

For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 05-01-2016

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

It appears that the petitioner, after superannuation in the
year 2013, has not received his full retiral benefits on the objection that
advances taken by him have not been properly adjusted with supporting
vouchers.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the requirement to submit vouchers was made effective only from 2008 and thus for the period prior to that the petitioner having utilized the amount without keeping copies of any vouchers cannot be denied his retiral benefits for the said period. However, with regard to the period from 2008 till his superannuation, the submission is that relevant materials including vouchers were submitted in the office of the University which would indicate that the amount taken by the petitioner or given to him by way of advances, was actually utilized for official purposes. It is further submitted that at this stage when the petitioner had deposited all those documents and the same are not being traced in the office of the University or the Colleges, it would not be practically feasible for the petitioner to come up and explain such utilization. It is further submitted that similarly situated persons have been granted benefit by the authorities by not insisting for such vouchers and supporting documents and they have been granted 'No Objection Certificate' and also paid their retiral benefits and thus, in all fairness, such benefit should also be extended to the petitioner.

Having considered the matter, the writ petition stands disposed off with liberty to the petitioner to meet the Vice Chancellor of the University along with a detailed representation and explain the circumstances under which he had submitted bills and also if possible,

indicate from where such fact can be verified. If at all, it is found that the records are not available, the Vice Chancellor shall also consider that the petitioner, being a senior person, having held the post of Vice Chancellor of the University at one time may be given similar benefit of not insisting for such procedure, as has been done in the case of other persons whom the petitioner shall indicate before him. The said exercise be completed within one month from the petitioner meeting the Vice Chancellor along with a copy of this order.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

Anjani/-

U			
---	--	--	--