

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15270 of 2014

=====

Ram Lal Sahani Son of Late Baijnath Sahani, Resident of Village-Kashipur Chakbibi, P.O.-Bidupur, P.S.- Rajapakar, O.P.-Baranti, Anchal-Hajipur, District-Vaishali, at present R/o village-Fulhara Bano, P.O.-Bidupur, P.S.-Rajapakar, o.P.-Baranti, Anchal-Hajipur, District-Vaishali.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Faguni Sahani.
2. Pukar Sahani, Both sons of Late Babulal Sahani.
3. Tarni Sahani.
4. Triveni Sahani, Both sons of late Satto Sahani.
5. Dhuna Sahani Son of Faguni Sahani.
6. Hare Kishun Sah Son of Late Misri Sah.
7. Pappu Sah Son of Arjun Sah, All Resident of Village-Kashipur Chakbibi, P.O.-Bidupur, P.S.-Rajapakar, O.P.-Baranti, Anchal-Hajipur, District-Vaishali.

.... ... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Prakash Sinha

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. NATH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 25-10-2016

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

By the impugned order the learned court below
has allowed the prayer on behalf of the defendant for amendment in
the written statement.

After considering the submissions and perusal of
the impugned order, it is evident that the learned court below has
come to the conclusion that by the proposed amendment the defendant
has not taken back any admitted fact rather the amendments are by
way of elaboration of the facts already stated and introduction of some
new facts which was permissible in the eye of law.



In the aforesaid background, this Court is not inclined to interfere in the impugned order.

The application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(V. Nath, J)

Nitesh/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	15.12.2016
Transmission Date	

