

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No. 26974 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -51 Year- 2014 Thana -RATANPURA District- SUPAUL

=====

1. Sukhdeo Mehta S/o late Khushi lal Mehta.
2. Santosh Kumar @ Bhola Mehta S/o Sukhdeo Mehta
3. Raju Mehta @ Raja S/o Sukhdeo Mehta
All are resident of village- Lalman Patti ward no. 14, P.S.- Ratanpura,
District- Supaul.

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binod Shankar Modi
Mr. Kamal Kishore Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Veerendra Narayan (App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3. 25-07-2016 Heard Sri Binod Shankar Modi, learned counsel, who
was assisted by Sri Kamal Kishore Singh, learned counsel for
petitioners and Sri Kumar Veerendra Narayan, learned Addl.
Public Prosecutor.

Three petitioners, who are named as accused in
Ratanpura P.S. Case No. 51 of 2014 registered for offence under
Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the
Arms Act, 1959, have prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

It was submitted by learned counsel for petitioners
that in the F.I.R., there is only allegation that petitioners were
participants in the occurrence, whereas, there is no allegation of

any overt act. Learned counsel for petitioners, by way of referring to F.I.R., submits that it is a case, in which one of the co-accused namely Pramod Mehta, having mask in his hand, fired, which hit the victim, who died.

Keeping in view the allegation in the F.I.R. itself, there is no reason for extending the privilege of anticipatory bail.

The petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

Anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--