

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.22425 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -686 Year- 2014 Thana -PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
PATNA

- =====
1. Ranjeet Singh, Son of Late Saryug Singh
 2. Ravi Kumar, son of Ranjeet Singh
 3. Akhilanand Singh, son of Late Ram Chandra Singh
 4. Durga Paswan, son of Late Narayan Paswan
 5. Tantu Paswan, son of Durga Paswan
 6. Anuj Paswan, son of Late Nanhak Paswan
- All residents of village + P.S.- Shahpur, District- Patna

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ranjit Kumar, son of Sri Jayprakash Rai, resident of village & P.S.-
Shahpur, District- Patna

.... Opposite Parties.

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Parmeshwar Mehta(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 01-08-2016 Heard Sri Pramod Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioners and Sri Parmeshwar Mehta, learned Addl. Public

Prosecutor.

The present petition has been filed with a prayer to
quash an order dated 02.09.2015 passed by the learned Judicial
Magistrate, 1st Class, Danapur in Complaint Case no.686 (C) of
2014. By the said order, the learned Magistrate, Danapur has taken
cognizance of offence under Section 323 of the Indian Penal Code.

It was firstly argued that the order of cognizance is
liable to be set aside on the ground that as per complaint, date of

occurrence was of 11.06.2014, whereas complaint was filed after six days i.e. 17.06.2014 without any explanation. He submits that series of litigation in between the parties are going on. On this ground, a prayer has been made to quash the impugned order.

The Court is of the opinion that such point cannot be examined here. The petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

NKS/-

U			
---	--	--	--