

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16037 of 2013

=====

Surendra Malik Son of Late Bado Malik resident of village + P.O.- Sarai
Ranjan, P.S.- Sarai Ranjan, District- Samastipur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate, Samastipur.
3. The Block Development Officer, Sarai Ranjan, Samastipur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bipin Bihari Singh
For the Respondent/s : M/s Sanjay Pandey, GP-21 and
Nishant Kumar Jha, AC to GP-21, Advs.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

4 21-06-2016 The petitioner has filed this application whereby he has
sought direction for payment of difference pay of 6th P.R.C. which has
been denied to the petitioner.

Instead of going to the merit of the case, in paragraph 9
of the counter affidavit the specific statement has been made by the
respondent that entire difference pay of 6th P.R.C. has been paid to the
petitioner which has not been disputed by the counsel for the petitioner.

In such view of the matter, it is treated that entire
payment has been made to the petitioner which he is entitled to.

In such view of the matter, this petition does not survive.
Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Mahesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--