

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8774 of 2015

- =====
1. Urmila Devi W/o Janardhan Ram
 2. Arun Yadav @ Arun Kumar Yadav S/o Sahdeo Prasad Yadav Both residents of Village : - Biri Ranpal, P.S. : - Udakishunganj, District - Madhepura.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Principal Secretary Human Resource Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director State Project (Education Department), Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Regional Deputy Education Director, Koshi Division, Saharsa.
5. The Managing Director (Infrastructure) Bihar Rajya Shaikchhinik Aadhar Bhot Sanrachna Vikash Bibhag Ltd. Patna.
6. The Joint Secretary H.D.R. Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
7. The Commissioner Koshi Division Saharsa Cum Chairman Bihar Madhyamik Sikchhak Parishad, Madhepura.
8. The District Magistrate cum Collector, Madhepura.
9. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Madhepura.
10. The District Programming Officer cum Secretary, Bihar Madhyamik Sikchha Parishad, Madhepura.
11. The Block Education Officer, Madhepura.
12. The Block Development Officer, Madhepura.
13. The Head Master, Upgraded Kabir Ganga Middle School, Biiri Ranpal, Gram Panchayat, Biri Ranpal, Block : - Udakishunganj, District : - Madhepura.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shekhar Kumar Singh, Advocate
Mr. Abhijit Gotam, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. J.S. Barnwal, (GA- 5)
Mr. Sanjay Kumar (A.C. to GA-5)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 30-03-2016

Heard Mr. Shekhar Kumar Singh, learned Counsel for the
petitioners, and Mr. J.S. Barnwal, learned Government Advocate

No.5, appearing on behalf of the State-respondents.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw this application with liberty to approach this Court with appropriate application in future.

No objection has been raised to the prayer for withdrawal made by the petitioners.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, the application is hereby disposed of as withdrawn with liberty granted to the petitioners to approach this Court with appropriate application, in future, if so advised, and/or to take recourse to such provisions of law as may be permissible.

(I. A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Anjana Mishra, J)

sunil/-

U			
---	--	--	--