

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Review No.209 of 2014

In
LPA 904 of 2013

=====

Saroj Kumari, W/o Shashi Bhushan, Prasad, Resident of Village Mirachak,
P.S. Bind, District Nalanda.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Director (Primary Education), Human Resources Development Department, Vikas Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna.
3. The Zila Shikshak Niyojan Appellate Pradhikar Nalanda through its Members.
4. The District Education Officer, Nalanda.
5. The District Magistrate, Nalanda.
6. The District Superintendent of Education, New District Programme Officer, Nalanda.
7. The Area Education Officer, Asthawan, Nalanda.
8. The Block Development Officer, Bind, Nalanda.
9. The Block Education Extension Officer, Asthawan-cum-Coordinator Block Resources Centre, Bind, Nalanda.
10. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Bind, P.S. Bind, District Nalanda.
11. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Bind, P.S. Bind, District Nalanda.
12. Jitu Kumari, daughter of Shri Krishna Kumar, resident of village Bind, P.O. Bind, P.S. Bind, District Nalanda, at present posted as Panchayat Shikshak, newly created Primary School, Pitambar Bigha, (Ravidas Tola), P.S. Bind, District Nalanda.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Surendra Kumar Choubey
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Manish Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SAMARENDRA PRATAP SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR JHA

ORAL ORDER
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SAMARENDRA PRATAP SINGH)

5 28-09-2016 Heard counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel appearing for the respondents.

The instant review application has been filed against the order, dated 21.04.2014, passed in L.P.A. No. 904 of 2013 (Jitu Kumari Vrs. the State of Bihar & Ors.). By the aforesaid order, a Division Bench of this Court had allowed the Appeal filed by the Appellant, Jitu Kumari.

Learned counsel submits that the learned Division Bench did not appropriately consider the provisions with respect to limitation under Rule 18 of the Bihar Panchayat Primary Teachers Niyojan Rule, 2006.

In our view, the ground raised by the petitioner cannot be a ground for review. In the result, the review application is dismissed.

However, it will be open for the petitioner to take the remedies available under the law.

(Samarendra Pratap Singh, J.)

(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J.)

kunal/-

U			
---	--	--	--