

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12629 of 2014

=====

Umesh Kumar Sinha Son of Late Braj Kishore Prasad, Resident of Mohalla Madhubani, Amlatola, at present Mohalla-Uttar Bhatta, Zila School Road, P.O.- G.P.O., P.S.- Khazanchi Hat, District- Purnea

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate, Purnea.
3. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms Sadar Purnea, Purnea. null null
4. Kamlesh Kumar Sinha Son of Late Brij Kishore Prasad, Resident of Mohalla- Yttar Bhatta, Zila School Road, P.S. K. Hat, Distt- Purnea.
5. Mithilesh Kumar Sinha
6. Pankaj Kumar Sinha, Respondent No. 5 and 6 are Sons of Late Brij Kishore Prasad, Resident of Amla Tola, Madhubani, P.S. K. Hat, Distt- Purnea.
7. Sunaina Sinha daughter of Late Brij Kishore Prasad, Wife of Raj Kumar Verma Resident of Mohalla- Lal Darwaja, P.S & Distt- Munger.
8. Seema Sinha daughter of Late Brij Kishore Prasad, Wife of Sunil kumar Dutta Resident of Mohalla- Kagji Mohalla, Biharsharif, P .S.- Biharsharif, Distt- Nalanda.
9. Asha Sinha daughter of Late Brij Kishore Prasad, Wife of Binod Kumar Sinha Resident of Mohalla Near Civil Court, Nawada, P.S & Distt- Nawada.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Ghosarvey, Adv.
For the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3: Mr. Satya Prakash, AC to SC-22

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 03-10-2016 After some argument, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, in presence of the learned State counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petition with a liberty to raise all the issues of facts and law, which have been raised in the present writ petition, in Mutation Appeal No.9 of 2003-04, if it is still pending before the respondent D.C.L.R., Purnia.

Permission is accorded.

The writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with the

liberty aforesaid.

However, if the aforesaid Mutation Appeal No. 9 of 2003-04 has finally been disposed of during the pendency of the present writ petition, and the petitioner feels aggrieved by the appellate order, then the petitioner shall be at liberty to challenge that appellate order before the prescribed revisional authority, which shall be decided strictly in accordance with law.

It is further clarified that if the petitioner applies for certified copy of any public document, then that must be supplied to him by the concerned authority without any unnecessary delay.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--