

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11111 of 2014

=====

Jai Narain Yadav, S/o Late Shiv Prasad Yadav, resident of village & Post-Dhin Gaon, P.S.- Madhepura, District- Madhepura

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Bihar, Patna
2. The Finance Commissioner, Old Secretariat, Patna
3. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna, Veer Chand Patel Path, Patna
4. The Collector, Madhepura
5. The General Provident Fund Officer, Madhepura
6. The Anchal Adhikari, Mirliganj, Madhepura

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Mallika Mazumdar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vivek Anand Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SAMARENDRA PRATAP SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 20-08-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondents.

The petitioner retired on 30.11.2012 from the post of Revenue Clerk.

The petitioner has filed the instant writ application in the year 2014 raising a grievance that though P.P.O. has been sanctioned and duly authorized by the Accountant General Office, but the same is not being paid.

Once the P.P.O. has been sanctioned and authorized, I do not find any reason, as to why the petitioner is not being paid the same.

The petitioner may raise his grievance before the

respondent no. 4, who would ensure that the pension is paid to the petitioner, as the same has been sanctioned both by the Department and authorized by the Accountant General Office.

With the aforesaid observation, the writ application stands disposed of.

(Samarendra Pratap Singh, J.)

Uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--