

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.16539 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -337 Year- 2015 Thana -PIRPAINITI District- BHAGALPUR

1. Md. Chappo @ Irshad, S/o Sheikh Kallu
2. Shekh Ghisan, S/o Md. Jamun @ Ajabul,
Both resident of Choti Kadarzanna, PS Mufassil, District Sahebganj
(Jharkhad)

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Singh, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar No. 3, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

4 04-07-2016

Heard both sides.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in Pirpainty
P.S. Case No. 337/15 registered under Section 394 of the Indian
Penal Code.

The informant alleged that the petitioners were driver
and cleaner of the truck but they were removed from service.
While the informant was going with his truck, the petitioners
signaled the driver to stop and thereafter both the petitioners
committed robbery. Rupees one lac fourteen thousands and
mobiles were looted away. Learned counsel for the petitioners
submits that the petitioners were employees of the informant but
they left the service of the informant, that is why, they have falsely
been implicated in the case.

It appears that the informant made specific allegation about the robbery committed by the petitioners on account of enmity. During the course of investigation, one Saddam Alam and Alim Khan stated that the petitioners committed robbery.

Considering the facts aforesaid and the specific allegation made against the petitioners, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in Pirpanti P.S. Case No. 337/15. Accordingly, the same is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J)

Dilip/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--