

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.26376 of 2014

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -398 Year- 2013 Thana -AURANGABAD COMPLAINT CASE
District- AURANGABAD

- =====
1. Bhikhari Trigunayak @ Bihari @ Bhikhari Pandit
 2. Raju Trigunayak
 3. Tunu Trigunayak. All are sons of Munni Trigunayak, resident of Village - Dumarthu, P.S. - Goh, District - Aurangabad (Bihar).

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Gayatri Devi, W/o Satyadeo Trigunayak, resident of Village - Dumarthu, P.O. + P.S. - Goh, District - Aurangabad (Bihar).

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar @ Manu, Advocate
For the O.P.No. 2 : Mr. Praveen Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sunil Kr. Panday, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 20-06-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

This application has been filed for quashing of Complaint Case No. 398 of 2013 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 323, 341 and 379/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

On perusal of the complaint petition, I find that the offence under various Sections of the Indian Penal Code, mentioned therein, is made out. There is nothing on record, which would warrant interference by this Court in exercise of power under Section 482 of

the Code of Criminal Procedure.

This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Vats/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--