

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.63 of 2012

=====

Mukesh Kumar Madhukar S/O Sri Subh Narayan Singh, Resident of
Village - Jaitpur (Piprahiyan Tola), P.S. - Daudpur, District - Saran at
Chapra

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra
3. The Additional Collector, Saran at Chapra
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Chapra Sadar
5. The Deputy Collector - in - Charge, Land Reforms, Sadar Chapra
6. The Circle Officer, Manjhi, District - Chapra

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jeetendra Narayan
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Gyan Prakash Ojha GP-22
: Mr. Sushil Kumar Singh AC to GP-22

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 18-01-2016 Heard the parties.

In view of the nature of grievances/claims raised on behalf of the petitioner in the present writ petition, this Court is of the opinion that instead of keeping the matter pending awaiting a counter affidavit on behalf of the respondents, the interest of justice shall be sub-served if the petitioner is granted liberty to file a fresh comprehensive representation before the respondent District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Saran at Chapra, with all supporting documents raising all the pleas, which have been raised in the present writ petition. It is ordered accordingly.

If such a fresh comprehensive representation is filed on behalf of the petitioner with a certified copy of the present order within a period of one month from today, then the respondent District Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra either himself or any other competent authority of the respondent State shall be obliged to consider and decide the claims of the petitioner

by a reasoned and speaking order, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, besides others, if any, at an early date preferably within a period of four months from the date of filing of such representation by the petitioner.

If on consideration of the materials the competent authority of the respondent State, as indicated above, comes to a conclusion that the claims raised on behalf of the petitioner are admissible to him, then consequential order shall also be issued for grant of such admissible claims without any unnecessary further delay.

The writ petition stands finally disposed of with the observations and directions made above.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--