

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7145 of 2016

=====

Dinesh Sah & Ors

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

Sri Tej Narain Yadav & Ors

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Lala Sachindra Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Sinha No.-2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR SAHOO
ORAL ORDER

2 17-05-2016 Heard the learned counsel, Mr. Lala Sachindra Kumar for
the petitioners.

By the impugned order dated 05.02.2016 passed by Sub
Judge I, Madhepura in Title Suit No.71 of 2004, the application
filed by the petitioners to examine the disputed signature on the
sale deed has been rejected on the ground that report of the expert
has been received regarding the disputed L.T.I. on the disputed
sale deed.

Perused the order passed by the Court below. According
to the Court below, it is not necessary to obtain the report of the
expert regarding the signature as the report has been received with
regard to L.T.I.

In view of the finding of the Court below that it is not
necessary, this Court is unable to interfere with the impugned

order in exercise of supervisory jurisdiction.

Thus, this writ application is dismissed.

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)

