

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1836 of 2015

=====

Asha Devi & Anr

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

Smt. Sunita Sinha

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR
SAHOO
ORAL ORDER

2 03-03-2016

Heard learned counsel, Mr. Anil Kumar, for the
petitioners.

By the impugned order dated 05.11.2014, the learned
Sub-Judge Vth, East Champaran at Motihari has rejected the
objection petition filed by the petitioners to the Pleader
Commissioner's report in Title Suit No. 175 of 2013.

From perusal of the impugned order, it appears that
the Court below, after considering the objection raised by the
petitioners found that the objection of the petitioners have got no
factual foundation.

In view of the above finding, even if another view is
possible, the High Court cannot take the other view for the
purpose of supervising the impugned order passed by the Court
below. Therefore, impugned order cannot be interfered with in
exercise of supervisory jurisdiction under Article 227 of the

Constitution of India.

Thus this writ application is dismissed.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to raise this question at the time of hearing.

(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)

ravi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--