

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1112 of 2015

Navadan, wife of late Hasmat Hussain, resident of village - Aran Bishanpur, P.O. Aran, P.S. Bihra, District Saharsa.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary, Bihar, Patna.
2. Finance Commissioner, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Director, Primary Education, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
4. Accountant General Bihar, Patna, Beerchand Patel Marg, Patna.
5. Collector, Saharsa.
6. Treasury Officer, Saharsa.
7. Chief Manager, State Bank of India main Branch, Saharsa.
8. Chief Manager (S.B.I), Central Pension Processing Centre, Pension Cell Anta Ghat, Patna.
9. District Programme Officer (Establishment), Saharsa.

.... Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Mallika Mazumdar, Advocate.
Mr. Prem Kumar, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Ajay Kumar Rastogi, A.A.G.-10
For the Accountant General : Mr. Ranjan Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent SBI Bank : Mr. Satya Prakash Tripathy, Advocate
Mr. Satya Vrat, Advocate.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 30-11-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner for a direction upon the Respondents to revise her family pension as per Finance Department Resolution No. 820 dated 23.9.2009 whereby pension and family pension have been revised with effect from 1.1.2006 payable from 1.4.2007.

A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the Respondent No. 6 wherein it has been stated that the petitioner is getting revised family pension with effect from 1.4.2007. In support of such contention, the statement of account of the petitioner has also been brought on record as Annexure - A to the counter affidavit.

After going through the averments made in the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the Respondent No. 6, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the light of the averments made in the counter affidavit, the application may be disposed of as the grievance of the petitioner has already been redressed.

In the light of the submissions made on behalf of the parties, the application is disposed of.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

S.Ali/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	1/12/2016
Transmission Date	N/A.