

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17807 of 2016

=====

Dr. Ajay Kumar Thakur S/o Shri Manindra Nath Thakur resident of village & P.O.
- Gangauli, P.S.- Simri, District - Buxar - 802131.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. Bihar Public Service Commission through its Secretary, 15, Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Bailey Road, Patna - 800001.
2. The Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission, 15, Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Patna - 800001.
3. The Secretary, Bihar Public Service Commission, 15, Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Patna - 800001.
4. The Additional Secretary-cum-Controller of Examination, Bihar Public Service Commission, 15, Jawahar Lal Nehru Marg, Bailey Road, Patna - 800001.
5. The Section Officer, Direct Recruitment Branch (5B), Bihar Public Service Commission, 15, Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Bailey Road, Patna - 800001.
6. University Grants Commission (UGC), through its Secretary, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
7. The Secretary, University Grants Commission (UGC), through its Secretary, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
8. University Grants Commission (UGC), (NET BUREAU) through its Deputy Secretary, Benito Juarez Marg, New Delhi.
9. Banaras Hindu University, through its Registrar, Varanasi - 221005.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Binod Kumar Singh, Advocate
Ms. Vagisha Pragya Vacaknavi, Advocate
For the Respondent BPSC: Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date: 13-12-2016

The writ application is dismissed with an observation that the right of the petitioner will be dependent upon the outcome of the appeal which has been moved by him before the appellate authority under Right to Information Act since the petitioner is looking for the details of the marks awarded to him for different examinations starting from matriculation onwards and the marks



which has been awarded to him by Bihar Public Service Commission, which has formed the basis for the final evaluation and calculation of the percentage of his marks by the Bihar Public Service Commission. There seems to be some obvious flaw in the manner the petitioner is trying to calculate, but those aspects have been intentionally ignored by the Court because that may adversely prejudice the case of the petitioner before the appellate authority.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

Pawan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	14.12.2016
Transmission Date	N/A

