

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6097 of 2016

=====

Mamta Kumari Wife of Rameshwar Tethwar, Resident of Village-Tekuna, P.S.-
Pratapganj, District-Supaul.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. Bihar State Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
2. The Chairman, Bihar State Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Bihar Stte Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
4. The Examination Controller, Bihar State Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
5. The Headmaster, Sri Mishrilal Mehta Sansritoch Vidyalaya, Purani Bhaptiyahi,
P.S.-Nirmali, District-Supaul.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Surya Kant Mishra, Advocate

For B.S.S.B. : Mr. Satyam Shivam Sundaram, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 23-09-2016

Heard counsel for the petitioner and counsel for Bihar
Sanskrit Shiksha Board.

If the records of Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board does not
tally with the kind of certificate, which the petitioner has been
flaunting, the Sanskrit Shiksha Board has no obligation to provide a
different marks with a different mark-sheet to satisfy the needs of the
petitioner.

The status with regard to the petitioner's result has been
indicated in the counter affidavit. If this is what emerges from the
records of the Sanskrit Shiksha Board, the Court is not going to take
notice of the argument contrary to what is available in terms of
record with the Sanskrit Shiksha Board. The mark-sheet of

the petitioner will be made available on the basis of as is where is records.

Writ is disposed of with the above direction.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)

S.Kumar/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	26.09.2016
Transmission Date	