

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3105 of 2012

=====

Bikrama Pandey S/O Jeet Narayan Pandey R/O Village- Kargahar, P.S.-
Kargahar, District-Rohtas (Sasaram)

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Department of Petroleum, New Delhi-1
2. The Indian Oil Corporation Ltd. through its Chairman 9, Ali Yabar Jung Marg, Bandra East, Mumbai
3. The Chairman, Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., 9, Ali Yabar Jung Marg, Bandra East, Mumbai
4. The General Manager, Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., 9, Ali Yabar Jung Marg, Bandra East, Mumbai
5. Senior Divisional Retail Sales Manager, Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., Block-A, Maurya Lok Complex (3rd Floor), Dakbunglow Road, Patna
6. Prince Kumar, son of Brijesh Kumar Roy, resident of village Mukhraon, P.S. Kuchhila, Dist. Bhabua.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Resp. IOCL : Mr. K.D.Chatterjee, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Amlesh Kumar Verma, Advocate
Mr. Abhimanyu Deo, Advocate

For the Resp. 6 : Mr. Surendra Pd.Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

5 28-04-2016 Despite repeated calls no one appears on behalf of the

petitioner to press the writ petition.

2. Learned Senior Counsel Mr. K.D. Chatterjee
appearing on behalf of the respondent Corporation submits
that the petitioner's candidature has been rejected on the
ground that he failed to furnish the requisite affidavit of the
co-owners which was a precondition. It is therefore, submitted

that the petitioner was rightly awarded zero marks for capability to provide land and infrastructure.

3. Be that as it may, in view of non-representation on behalf of the petitioner when the matter is called, the writ petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran

U			
---	--	--	--