

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3697 of 2016**

=====

Indra Mohan Mishra

..... Petitioner/s

Versus

Narendra Kumar Gupta

..... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**  
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madhu Prasun  
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR SAHOO**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2 15-07-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Perused the order dated 27.08.2015 passed in Eviction Suit No. 2 of 2010 by sub-Judge-I, Madhubani, whereby the court below has directed the tenant-petitioner to deposit rent under Section 15 of the B.B.C. Act.
3. From perusal of the order, it appears that the court below found that the relationship between landlord and tenant is not denied and further the parties have agreed to pay Rs. 2000/- per month as rent, which is also not under dispute. Therefore, the court below has rightly exercised the jurisdiction under Section 15 of the B.B.C. Act.
4. In view of the above factual position, this is not a case for interference in exercise of supervisory jurisdiction. Therefore, no case is made out for interference in exercise of supervisory jurisdiction. Accordingly, this writ application is dismissed.

brajesh/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

**(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)**