

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3432 of 2016

=====

1. Ram Lobhit Singh S/o Sri Lal Singh Resident of Village: Shapur
Chintamani tole Srirampur , P.s Hatauri District Samastipur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue & Land Reforms, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
 2. The Commissioner Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga.
 3. The District Magistrate Samastipur, District Samastipur.
 4. The Sub-Division Officer, Rosera, District Samastipur.
 5. The Circle Officer, Sivajinagar Block ; P.S Sivajinagar, District Samastipur.
 6. The S.H.O. Hathauri District Samastipur.
 7. The Head Master Primary School Sahpur Chintamani; Slvajio Nagar Block P.s Hathuri , District Samastipur.
 8. Ram Bharosh Singh S/o late Merakh Singh
 9. Jogi Singh S/o late Janak Singh
 10. Jagdish singh S/o khushi Lal Singh
 11. Raj kumar Singh S/o late Surya Narayan Singh
 12. Babu Narayan Singh S/o Sri Krishna Singh
 13. Mahpatiya Devi W/o Palat Mandal
 14. Pradeep Singh S/o late Surya Naryan Singh
 15. Ram Sobhit Mandal S/o late Lakhan Singh
- All 8-15 are Resident of Village Shapur Chintamani P.s Hathauri District Samastipur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bijay Bhushan Prasad, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Jai Shankar Barnwal- GA-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 19-09-2016

Heard Mr. Bijay Bhushan Prasad, learned Counsel,

appearing on behalf of the petitioner, and Mr. Jay Shankar

Barnwal, learned Government Advocate No.5, appearing on

behalf of the State-respondents.

The grievance of the writ petitioner, made in this writ petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, in the nature of Public Interest Litigation, relates to removal of encroachment on public land.

Having regard to the facts pleaded in this writ petition, the materials on record and, having heard learned counsel for both the parties, we find that the subject matter of this writ petition is fully covered by the observations made, and the directions given by us in the order, dated 24.11.2015, passed in C.W.J.C.No.4309 of 2015 (**Sanjay Jha Vs. The State of Bihar and Others**), reported in 2016 (1) PLJR 248.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, it is hereby directed that respondent No.3, namely, District Magistrate, Samastipur, shall act, in the present matter, in accordance with law, keeping in view the observations made and the directions given in the order, dated 24.11.2015, aforementioned.

Let a copy of this order be made available to the learned Counsel for the petitioner for being transmitted to the District Magistrate, Samastipur.

Let also a copy of this order be sent by FAX to the District Magistrate, Samastipur.

In view of the above, this writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(I.A. Ansari,CJ)

(Anjana Mishra, J)

K.C.jha/-

U			
---	--	--	--