

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2393 of 2014

=====

1. M/S Satya Glass Industries through its proprietor Kalyani Devi, W/O Late Binay Bhushan Tiwary, Buxar Industrial Area, P.O. + P.S. and District Buxar

2. Kalyani Devi, W/O Late Binay Bhushan Tiwary, resident of Village - Sohani Patti, P.O. + P.S. and District - Buxar

... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Its Commissioner, Industry Department, Patna

2. The Principal Secretary, Industry Department Cum Chairman, Bihar State Financial Corporation, Frazer Road, Patna

3. The Managing Director, Bihar State Financial Corporation, Frazer Road, Patna

4. The Branch Manager, Bihar State Financial Corporation, Ara, District - Bhojpur

5. The Managing Director, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority, Udyog Bhawan, East Gandhi Maidan, Patna

6. The Director, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority, Udyog Bhawan, East Gandhi Maidan, Patna

7. The Development Officer, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority, Udyog Bhawan, East Gandhi Maidan, Patna

8. The Regional Manager, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority, Udyog Bhawan, East Gandhi Maidan, Patna

9. The Area In-Charge, Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority (BIADA), Industrial Area, Buxar, District - Buxar

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : None

For the State : Mr. A.K. Chaudhary, AAG 13
Mrs. Reena Kumar, AC to AAG 13

For Respondent-BIADA: Mr. Lalit Kishore, Sr. Advocate
Mrs. Binita Singh, Advocate

For Respondent Nos.3 & 4: Mr. Y.V. Giri, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Nikhil Kr. Agrawal, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

7 24-02-2016 Despite repeated calls, no one appears on behalf of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-BIADA submits that no fault can be found in the action of the

respondents in view of the decision dated 18.03.2015 passed in LPA No. 353 of 2008 and analogous cases (*Bihar Industrial Area Development Authority & ors. Vs. Deepak Paints Pvt. Ltd. & ors.*).

3. Be that as it may, in view of non-appearance of the petitioners, this writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Vikash Jain, J)

B.T/-

U			
---	--	--	--