

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No. 5833 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -4 Year- 2016 Thana -INARWA District-
WESTCHAMPARAN(BETTIAH)

- =====
1. Shandar Sah S/O Jogi Sah
 2. Nathuni Sah S/O Ram Saran Sah
 3. Binod Sah @ Binod Kamkar @ Binod Mahto S/o Dev Kamkar
 4. Mahendra Sah S/O Rajbanshi Sah
- All Resident of Village- Inarwa, P.S- Inarwa, District- West Champaran.

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anant Kumar (App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2. 23-02-2016 Heard Sri Sanjeev Kumar, learned counsel for
petitioners and learned Addl. Public Prosecutor.

Four petitioners, apprehending their arrest in Inarwa
P.S. Case No. 4 of 2016 registered for the offence under Sections
147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 337, 353, 224, 307 & 414 of the Indian
Penal Code on the information of one Assistant Commandant,
S.S.B., have prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that
petitioners have falsely been implicated. It was argued that
petitioners are Bataidar of Mathadhish and they were carrying 15
quintals of paddy, but unauthorisedly, it was intercepted by the

member of the S.S.B.(Shashastra Sena Bal) and falsely, petitioners have been implicated in the case.

Now-a-days, it has been noticed that tendency has been developed, particularly; in our State to raise any dispute even in case of lawful action being taken by the government officials. This is one of the case.

After perusing the F.I.R., I do not find any ground for extending the privilege of anticipatory bail.

The petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

Anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--