

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.5739 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -130 Year- 2015 Thana -ARIYARI District- SEKHPURA

- =====
1. BHUTTU YADAV @ RAM BILASH YADAV@ BHUTA YADAV
 2. Dinesh Yadav @ Dinesh Kumar,
Both sons of Late Janki Yadav
 3. Pintu Yadav @ Pintu Kumar, Son of Bhuttu Yadav @ Ram Bilash
Yadav,
- All residents of Village- Ranka, P.S.- Ariari, District- Sheikhpura.

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bharat Lal, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 19-02-2016 Heard Sri Bharat Lal, learned counsel for the
petitioners and learned Addl. Public Prosecutor.

Three petitioners, apprehending their arrest in connection with Ariari P.S. Case no.130/2015 registered for the offence under Sections 147,148,149, 506 of the Indian Penal Code and 27 of the Arms Act, have prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

Sri Bharat Lal, learned counsel for the petitioners, while pressing the anticipatory bail petition, submits that save and except offence under Section 27 of the Arms Act, all other offences are bailable. He further submits that of course, in the F.I.R. it was alleged that several rounds of firing were made, but

none had received any injury. He further submits that at the place of occurrence, nothing was found.

Besides hearing learned counsel for the parties, I have also perused the materials available on record, particularly the F.I.R. On perusal of the F.I.R. itself, the Court is satisfied that it is not a fit case for extending the privilege of anticipatory bail.

The petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

NKS/-

U			
---	--	--	--