

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.173 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. - Year- Thana - District- MUZAFFARPUR

Paras Bhagat @ Parashnath Bhagat, Son of Late Yognarayan Bhagat, Resident of Mohalla - Jhitkahiya, P.S. Brahampur, District - Muzaffarpur

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Director General of Police, Patna, Bihar
2. The Inspector General of Police Tirhut Range, Muzaffarpur, District Muzaffarpur
3. The Dy. Inspector General of Police, Tirhut Range, Muzaffarpur at Muzaffarpur
4. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Muzaffarpur
5. The Superintendent of Police, Muzaffarpur
6. The Officer - in - Charge of Brahmpura Police Station, Muzaffarpur

.... Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ravi Ranjan, Advocate.

For the Respondent : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Singh, A.C. to S.C. 12.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT GUPTA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 09-03-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that he is being harassed time and again by the Officer-in-Charge of Brahmpura Police Station, Muzaffarpur in view of the fact that son of the petitioner Pawan Bhagat is a hardcore criminal and wanted in various criminal cases. Since the police is not able to apprehend Pawan Bhagat, petitioner is being harassed time and again.

3. It is admitted by the petitioner that he is also an accused in two cases i.e. Brahmpura P.S. Case No. 62 of 2009 registered for the offences under Sections 384, 387, 307, 323, 324 and 120B of the Indian Penal Code and Section 4/5 of the Explosive Substances Act and Brahmpura P.S. Case No. 124 of 2013 registered for the offences under Sections 342, 323, 504, 506, 307, 302, 452, 384 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the State that the petitioner has been granted bail in both the aforesaid cases. Apart from the bald statement of the petitioner there is no proof of any harassment in any manner alleged by the petitioner. The learned Counsel for the State submits that the police do not harass citizen in the manner alleged. The allegations are absolutely incorrect.

5. In view of the fact that there is no proof of alleged harassment by the police, no further order is called for in the matter.

6. The Writ Application is, thus, dismissed.

(Hemant Gupta, J)

P.K.P.

U		T	
---	--	---	--