

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4244 of 2014

=====

Jadu Nandan Sada, Son Of Late Mangal Sada, Resident Of Village - Rarhi,
Tole Jahangir, P.S. - Jalley, District - Darbhanga

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Commissioner, Darbhanga Division, Darbhanga
3. The D.C.L.R., Sadar Darbhanga, District - Darbhanga
4. Shambhoo Sah, Son Of Sri Ramashish Sahu, Resident Of Village - Rarhi,
Tole Jahangir, P.S. - Jalley, District - Darbhanga

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manish Kumar No.- 13, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Kumar, Adv.

For the Respondent nos.1to3 : Mr. Manoj Kumar, AC to GA-10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 20-06-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
AC to GA-10 appearing on behalf of the respondent nos.1 to 3.

Despite specific order dated 28.08.2014,
supplementary affidavit has not been filed on behalf of the
petitioner annexing the copy of the limitation petition so filed
before the appellate authority.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
submits that, as per his instructions, the sole writ-petitioner died
about a year ago and, despite all his efforts and communication
made by him, the heirs and legal representatives of the deceased
sole petitioner are not coming forward to comply the aforesaid
order dated 28.08.2014.

It is well settled that no lis can continue on behalf of
a dead person. Apparently, the sole petitioner is dead and no
petition has been filed on behalf of the heirs and legal
representatives seeking their substitution in place of deceased

petitioner and seeking further permission to continue with this litigation.

In above view of the matter, the writ petition has abated and is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

Arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--